



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,767	08/07/2006	J. David Schaffer	2004P00387WOUS	3848

38107 7590 01/27/2012
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS
P. O. Box 3001
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510

EXAMINER	
WHALEY, PABLO S	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1631	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
01/27/2012	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

vera.kublanov@philips.com
debbie.henn@philips.com
marianne.fox@philips.com

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/597,767	Applicant(s) SCHAFER ET AL.	
	Examiner PABLO WHALEY	Art Unit 1631	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) PABLO WHALEY. (3) _____

(2) THOMAS LUNDIN (APPL. REP). (4) _____

Date of Interview: 23 January 2012.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
 ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☐103 ☐Others
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 15.

Identification of prior art discussed: NONE.

Substance of Interview
 (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

A phone call was made to Appl. Rep. to propose an Examiner's Amendment that would put claim 15 (and dependent claims 16-17) into condition for allowance. The amendment included (i) changing the preamble of claim 15 to recite "a method of generating a chromosome population", (ii) deleting the last step of claim 15 (selecting a classifier), and (iii) clarifying the "updating" step of claim 15 (what is being updated?). Applicant's rep. declined such amendments. The Examiner stated that the case would be reopened if the Exam. Amendments were not accepted. The undersigned expressed a desire to have the case reopened.

Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation, including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/Pablo S. Whaley/ Examiner, Art Unit 1631	
--	--